REMARKS

I. Claim Objections

The examiner objected to claim 5 because the word --projection-- should have been inserted after the word "pin". Applicants have amended claim 5.

II. Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The examiner rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter applicants regard as their invention. Specifically, the examiner found an inconsistency between the preamble and body of claim 1. Applicant has amended claim 1 to overcome the rejection. Claims 2-5 are dependent on amended claim 1 and patentable for at least the same reasons.

III. Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner indicated that claims 1-5, if amended to overcome the claim rejections, would be allowable. Applicants appreciate the indication of allowance and have amended claim 1.

IV. Conclusion

Applicants believe all claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests that all claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew B. Loftus Registration No. 51,963

Gauthier & Connors

225 Franklin Street, Suite 3300 Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Telephone: (617) 426-9180

Extension 122

K:\Wireway\7114\Response to action mailed 3-14-05.doc